UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

LILEI	
AUG 29 2017	
NORTHERK, USAN Y. SO	

United States of America,)	Case No.	CK	17-0429	JSW	OAKLAND CALIFO
Plaintiff,)			RDER EXCLUI HE SPEEDY TI		
v. Struis Arrington Defendant.	. ,)					
Defendant.)					
For the reason stated by the parties on Trial Act from 2/2/, 2017, continuance outweigh the best interes 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this	t of the publi	c and the defe	endant	in a speedy trial	. See 18 U.S	nder the Speedy red by the .C. §
Failure to grant a con See 18 U.S.C. § 3161	tinuance wor (h)(7)(B)(I).	uld be likely t	o resul	t in a miscarriaș	ge of justice.	
The case is so unusual defendants, the nature or law, that it is unreal itself within the time	e of the prose asonable to e	ecution, or the xpect adequat	e existe te prepa	nce of novel quaration for pretr	estions of fa- ial proceeding	ct ngs or the trial
Failure to grant a contaking into account the	tinuance wor	uld deny the d f due diligence	lefenda e. <i>See</i>	nt reasonable ti 18 U.S.C. § 316	me to obtain 51(h)(7)(B)(i	counsel, v).
Failure to grant a congiven counsel's other so diligence. See 18 U.S.	cheduled case	e commitment				
Failure to grant a con necessary for effective See 18 U.S.C. § 3161	e preparation	n, taking into a	bly der accoun	ny the defendan t the exercise o	t the reasona f due diligen	ble time ce.
For the reasons stated 3161(b) and waived w 5.1(c) and (d).						
For the reasons stated 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for d						
IT IS SO ORDERED.			-	5		
DATED: 8/29 ,2017				1/1		3
				I. RYU		
		Unit	ea Stat	es Magistrate J	udge	
STIPULATED:			W	w ×		

Attorney for Defendant

Assistant United States Attorney